# THE COST OF RECRUITING AND ADMITTING TRANSFER STUDENTS

David Trick, PhD

David Trick and Associates Inc. www.davidtrick.com

#### Research question

- What are the costs that Ontario universities and colleges experience in recruiting, admitting and integrating transfer students?
- How do these compare with the costs of recruiting, admitting and integrating students directly from secondary school?

#### **Definitions**

- Transfer student
  - Any direction: C-U, U-C, C-C, U-U
  - Ontario public institutions only
  - The student may or may not have completed a prior credential
- Recruitment
  - identifying and attracting potential students
- Admissions
  - processing the application, determining whether the student should be offered admission, and making the offer of admission
- Integration
  - conversion, registration, orientation and early retention

#### Methodology

- Survey of 9 institutions: quantitative data
- Focus groups for qualitative data

	Universities	Colleges	
Large Toronto	Ryerson	Seneca	
Large non- Toronto	Western		
Medium-sized	University of Ontario Institute of Technology Windsor	Niagara	
Northern	Laurentian	Canadore Confederation	
n=	20,455 applicants 4,259 registrants	7,772 applicants 3,103 registrants	

## Operating expenditure *per applicant* on transfer students and direct-entry students (dollars)

**Direct-Transfer** entry students students **Difference** Recruitment 53 -7% 57 -4 112 41 71 172% Admissions Integration 18 89% 34 16 83 72% Total 199 116

**UNIVERSITIES ONLY: Operating expenditure** *per* applicant on transfer students and direct-entry

students (dollars)

		Direct-			
	Transfer	entry			
	students	students	Diffe	Difference	
Recruitment	73	91	-18	-19%	
Admissions	141	31	110	356%	
Integration	39	18	20	111%	
Total	253	141	(113	80%	

HIGHER for transfer students at 4 universities (of 5)

## COLLEGES ONLY: Operating expenditure *per*applicant on transfer students and direct-entry students (dollars)

		Direct-			
	Transfer	entry			
	students	students	Diffe	Difference	
Recruitment	42	106	-65	-61%	
Admissions	175	162	13	8%	
Integration	36	170	-135	-79%	
Total	252	439	(-186	-42%	

LOWER for transfer students at 3 colleges (of 4)

## Operating expenditure *per registrant* on transfer students and direct-entry students (dollars)

	Transfer	Direct- entry			
	students	students	Diffe	Difference	
Recruitment	203	315	-112	-35%	
Admissions	431	230	202	88%	
Integration	129	99	30	30%	
Total	764	644	120	19%	

UNIVERSITIES ONLY: Operating expenditure *per registrant* on transfer students and direct-entry students (dollars)

	Transfer students	Direct- entry students	Diffe	rence
Recruitment	328	597	-269	-45%
Admissions	619	213	406	191%
Integration	183	99	84	84%
Total	1,129	908	(221	24%

HIGHER for transfer students at 4 universities (of 5)

## COLLEGES ONLY: Operating expenditure *per*registrant on transfer students and direct-entry students (dollars)

	Transfer	Direct- entry			
	students	students	Diffe	Difference	
Recruitment	97	106	-9	-9%	
Admissions	808	452	355	79%	
Integration	75	459	-383	-84%	
Total	980	1,017	-37	-4%	
			\		

LOWER for transfer students at 3 colleges (of 4)

### Are there economies of scale in recruitment, admissions and integration?

- Based on limited data, yes
- Lack of economies of scale affects costs for transfer students more than it affects costs for direct-entry students
  - Because the transfer pool is smaller

- Total expenditure per applicant for transfer students is higher than for direct-entry students.
  - But not at every institution
  - More true of the universities surveyed than the colleges

- The potential revenue from transfer students is lower than for direct-entry students
- This means the potential "return" on investments in recruitment, admissions and integration is lower for transfer students than direct-entry

- The principal driver of higher expenditures per applicant for transfer students is the higher expenditure on <u>admissions</u> activities.
  - Admissions costs per applicant were higher for transfer students at all 5 universities and 2 of 4 colleges
  - Manual processing, many touch points
  - Pathways reduce this cost for students who follow the pathway – but many don't
- Expenditure on <u>recruitment</u> is not higher for transfer students (per applicant)
  - Difficulty in designing a recruitment campaign targeted at transfer students
- Expenditure on <u>integration</u> is higher at universities but lower at colleges (per registrant)

- Expenditures for transfer students are probably under-reported in this survey.
  - Data systems not designed to answer our questions
  - Many transfer students participate in recruitment and integration intended for direct-entry students
  - Many admissions costs for transfer students are slices of time

- Current expenditures may not be optimal expenditures.
  - Lack of information about current spending on each target group
  - Budget processes are historically driven
  - Some participants said that their expenditures on transfer students were likely to become more strategic in the near future

#### Areas for further research

- Impacts of differences in institutional type
  - For example, some colleges devote considerable resources to recruiting for college graduate certificates (out-of-scope for this project)
- Impacts of differences in institutional strategies and processes
- Student perspectives
  - Quality of experience

#### Conclusions

- It really does cost more to recruit, admit and integrate a transfer applicant than to do the same for a direct-entry applicant
- The potential revenue from an incoming transfer student is lower
- This incentive structure suggests the need for a continuing role for government in financially supporting universities and colleges in recruiting, admitting and integrating transfer students

#### Thanks to

- Registrars and staff of the participating colleges and universities
- ONCAT for sponsoring this research



**Student Pathways in Higher Education Conference** 

Conférence sur le parcours des étudiants dans les études supérieures

#### Commentators

Brad MacIsaac Assistant Vice-President, Planning & Analysis and Registrar University of Ontario Institute of Technology

Sharon Kinasz Registrar Seneca College of Applied Arts and Technology